## Columbia Falls Aluminum Reduction Plant Site

## National Priorities List ("NPL") Fact Sheet

## April 2016

The decision to list the Columbia Fall Aluminum Reduction Plant Site on the final NPL is approaching. Once listed on the final NPL, that decision cannot be reversed and the site will not be taken off the NPL until the project is completed.

Site redevelopment, job creation and increased tax revenue are being cited as reasons to list the site on the final NPL. Contrary to the expectations of some in Columbia Falls and elected officials, historical experience of Montana NPL sites show the opposite is true. Case studies in Montana show that final listing of the site on the NPL does not lead to redevelopment that brings high paying jobs and tax revenue.

Research using EPA information indicates that, although a few NPL listed sites in Montana have been partially reused, these projects have not brought significant jobs and tax revenue to local communities. Where reuse has occurred, most projects are parks, a golf course or public buildings:

- There are 18 sites on the NPL in Montana; none have ever been removed from the list. With the exception of a small power plant that created 11 jobs, industrial reuse has not occurred at any Montana site that was finally listed on the NPL.
- Industrial redevelopment has occurred at one Montana site proposed for NPL listing but never put on the final NPL: The Burlington Northern Livingston Shop Complex was proposed for NPL listing in 1994 but the listing was never finalized. The site is now used for switching and temporarily holding rail cars.
- Parts of four of the 16 final NPL sites in Montana have been redeveloped:
  - Milltown Reservoir Sediments Site, Milltown: The State of Montana opened a
    park on the former reservoir site. In addition, the Clark Fork Coalition, a not-forprofit conservation organization, has opened a sustainable cattle ranch and
    learning center on the property.
  - Anaconda Smelter, Anaconda: A government authority built a golf course funded, in large part, by site owner ARCO. In 2014, the golf course requested additional funding from ARCO to continue operations<sup>1</sup>. A regional prison was developed on part of the site. NorthWestern Energy constructed a natural-gas-fired electric generation facility on the site that employs 11 people.

 $^1\ http://mtstandard.com/news/local/old-works-golf-course-may-close-permanently/article\_481b3795-d730-59db-beff-e7698e968e78.html?utm\_medium=social&utm\_source=email&utm\_campaign=user-share$ 

Commented [A1]: As I read the text, this title seems to be too broad for the subject matter which is examples of reuse/redevelopment – Suggested title – Superfund redevelopment in Montana

Commented [A2]: Suggest, "expected fall 2016"

Commented [A3]: Science and data are also cited as reasons, i.e. the contamination and potential risks to human health and the environment.

**Commented [A4]:** This is vague. Expectations of some? Do expectations belong in a fact sheet like this? Recommend delete.

Commented [A5]: Suggest: "Case studies demonstrate how sites in Montana are being reused and redeveloped and the potential for economic renewal."

Commented [A6]: This is vague. Suggest citing sources rather than saying "research using EPA indicates." Also, not sure that the research was sufficiently extensive.

Commented [A7]: This sentences seems slanted. Suggest: "NPL listed sites in Montana show a wide range of reuse and redevelopment potential of Superfund"

Commented [A8]: This is explicit in the previous statement.

Commented [A9]: This sounds dismissive

Commented [A10]: Industrial use is just one indicator of redevelopment success. Many factors are involved in determining reuse including site factors and public interest. Just because the reuse is not industrial, doesn't mean it isn't a viable use for the site

Commented [A11]: This is factually incorrect

Commented [A12]: Suggest adding content to your site descriptions. I've added my suggestions to Libby and Milltown to demonstrate the kind of changes I'd recommend.

Commented [A13]: Suggest "A massive flood in 1908 washed millions of tons of mine waste from upstream mining operations into the Clark Fork River and deposited them behind Milltown Dam. Sediment contaminated with arsenic, lead, zinc, copper, and other metals settled at the base of the dam and remained there for over 100 years. The site was added to the National Priorities List in 1983 after arsenic groundwater contamination was found in the Milltown area and cleanup work began in 2006.

The Milltown cleanup project engaged an active local community and federal, state, and tribal partners. Over three million tons of contaminated sediment and the Milltown Dam were removed and a natural river and floodplain were re-created. Inspired by the vision of the public, the site now features a 500 acre state park that provides recreation opportunities and habitat for wildlife. On a bluff overlooking the confluence of the Clark Fork and Blackfoot Rivers, interpretive signs chronicle the Milltown cleanup and celebrate the region's history and heritage.

25036475v2

- Silver Bow Creek, Butte: A park with a sports complex, baseball fields, a driving range and volleyball courts has been created on the site. A memorial to those who died in Butte area mines also has been erected.
- Moat Industries, Columbus: The Town of Columbus built a public works building and parking area on part of the site.

## The Libby Asbestos Superfund Site:

The Libby Asbestos site is located in Libby and Troy in Lincoln County, Montana and was contaminated by asbestos as a result of vermiculite ore mining operations that began in the early 1920s. Asbestos from the mine resulted in extensive contamination of indoor and outdoor air, soil, vermiculite insulation and building materials in and around the City of Libby and Troy. Since 2000, EPA has completed over 7,100 investigations and cleanups at more than 2,100 residential and commercial properties located within the site.

OU1 - The former Export Plant and the Highway 37 embankments. The major components of the long-term remedy, selected in May 2010, completed construction in 2012 and participated in a ribbon-cutting ceremony with community members in 2013 for a new park and pavilion known as Riverfront Park. Today the park serves as a place for community gatherings and celebrations and serves as a boat launch for locals and outfitters. Other parts of the site are in reuse with commercial property and a large residential area.

0

- The EPA has designated two Montana NPL sites as "ready for reuse" Only one has been developed so far:
- Commented [A14]: Another example of the overall slant to this fact sheet, suggest: "one has been redeveloped so far."
- Moat Industries, Columbus: This is the same site as described above. The Town
  of Columbus built a public works building and parking area on part of the site.
- o Idaho Pole, Bozeman: EPA and the local community have coordinated to redevelop the site. There has been no redevelopment at this time.
- The EPA is trying to help other sites develop but despite planning and other activities at these sites, they have yet to be redeveloped:
  - East Helena Site, East Helena: In 2011, the EPA Superfund Redevelopment Initiative funded a community planning charrette and reuse planning process that produced a "revitalization concept plan" for the site. The site has not yet been redeveloped.
  - Libby Groundwater Contamination Site, Libby: The EPA and the state are working with businesses and officials of the Kootenai Industrial Park to support

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Commented [A15]:} This sounds slanted: suggest: "The EPA is also working with other sites for redevelopment." \\ \end{tabular}$ 

[ PAGE \\* ARABIC |2

E3036

reuse of the area. <u>This is also located within Operable Unit 5 of the Libby Asbestos Superfund site.</u>

A finger jointer operation is back up and running after new owner ship has started the business back up. The operation closed down due to economy, not the superfund sites.

A post and rail manufacturer who employs a half a dozen people and creates approximately \$2.5 - \$5 million in revenue each year.

A bridge welding manufacturer had started operations which was scheduled to hire upwards of 200 people. Plant closed due to untimely death of owner in a plane crash.

4

[ PAGE \\* ARABIC ]2

Montana NPL Sites Redevelopment Activity							
Site Name and Location	NPL Status	NPL Listing Date*	Site-Wide Ready for Anticipated Use?**	Redevelopment Activity			
Industrial use	<u> </u>						
The Burlington Northern Livingston Shop Complex	Proposed	n/a	n/a	Train yard switching and train storage			
Some redevelopmen	it with a few jobs	s and some tax r	evenue				
Milltown Sediments, Milltown	Final	September 8, 1983	No	State Park, cattle ranch and learning center			
Anaconda Smelter , Anaconda	Final	September 8, 1983	No	Golf course, prison and power generating station			
Silver Bow Creek/Butte Area, Butte	Final	September 8, 1983	No	Park and sports facilities; a monument			
Moat Industries, Columbus	Final - Ready for Re-use	June 10, 1986	Yes	Town of Columbus Public Works Building			
Government planni	ng and coordina	tion but no rede	velopment yet				
Idaho Pole, Bozeman	Final – Ready for Re-use	June 10, 1986	Yes	Government coordination			
East Helena Site, East Helena	Final	September 21, 1984	No	"redevelopment conceptual plan" developed in 2011			
Libby Groundwater Contamination Site, Libby	Final	September 8, 1983	No	Government coordination			

[PAGE \\* ARABIC]2

25036

Montana NPL Sites Redevelopment Activity						
Site Name and Location	NPL Status	NPL Listing Date*	Site-Wide Ready for Anticipated Use?**	Redevelopment Activity		
No Redevelopment	Activity					
Libby Asbestos, Libby	Final	October 24, 2002	No	None		
Barker Hughes Mining District, Monarch	Final	September 13, 2001	No	None		
Basin Mining Area, Basin	Final	October 22, 1999	No	None		
ACM Smelter and Refinery, Black Eagle, MT	Final	March 10, 2011	No	None		
Montana Pole and Treating, Butte, MT	Final	July 22, 1987	No	Construction of remedy complete – no redevelopment		
Flat Creek IMM, Superior, MT	Final	September 23, 2009	No	None		
Lockwood Solvent Ground Water Plume, Billings, MT	Final	December 1, 2000	No	None		
Upper Tenmile Creek, Helena, MT	Final	October 22, 1999	No	None		
Smurfit-Stone Mill, Frenchtown, MT	Proposed	n/a	No	None		

 $<sup>\</sup>hbox{* From https://www.epa.gov/superfund/national-priorities-list-npl-sites-state\#MT}$ 

[PAGE \\* ARABIC ]2

25036

 $<sup>{\</sup>tt **From\ https://www.epa.gov/superfund/search-superfund-sites-where-you-live}$